IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

FRIDAY, THE 9TH MARCH 2007 / 18TH PHALGUNA 1928

WP(C).No. 7443 of 2007(J)

PETITIONER:

A.S.PADMANABHAN, HEAD SURVEYOR(RETIRED),
SUPERINTENDENT OF SURVEY AND LAND RECORDS,ALUVA.
PUTHUPPADY HOUSE, NEAR K.S.R.T.C,
PERUMBAVOOR.

BY ADV. SMT.I.SHEELA DEVI

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE AND SURVEY,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. ACCOUNTANT GENERAL(A&E),
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. DIRECTOR OF SURVEY & LAND RECORDS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K. DENESAN, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No.7443 OF 2007 J
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 9th March, 2007

J U D G M E N T

The petitioner has retired from service while working as Head Surveyor on 31-4-2004. Ext. P8 proceedings have been issued by the Superintendent of Survey and Land Records, Aluva, on 22-7-2005 sanctioning higher scale of pay of Rs.100-210 instead of Rs.90-190 with effect from the date of appointment of the petitioner as Surveyor. Appropriate fixation of pay also has been done by the Superintendent of Survey and Land Records. Proposals have been forwarded to the 3rd respondent as seen from Ext. P8. But follow up action has not been taken by the 3rd respondent. Feeling aggrieved, the petitioner has filed Exts. P9 and P10 representations before the 3rd respondent. However, nothing has been done. In the above circumstances, this writ petition has been filed.

2. It is contended that on implementation of Ext. P8 the petitioner will be entitled for monetary benefits and revision of terminal benefits. Delay committed by the 3rd respondent is causing loss and

injury to the petitioner.

3. I have heard Govt. Pleader for the respondents.

4. In the circumstances, it is necessary in the interest of justice to direct the 3rd respondent to look into Ext. P8 proceedings, take appropriate follow up action, work out the pecuniary benefits to which the petitioner is entitled and if necessary to revise the terminal benefits also. Money due to the petitioner based on such re-fixation and revision shall also be paid. The entire exercise shall be completed within three months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

The petitioner is free to produce a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before the 3rd respondent for necessary action.

K.K. DENESAN
JUDGE

jan/